STATIC IS DYNAMIC

SUM BUNNY

STATIC IS DYNAMIC

Tuning Into the Texture of the Vacuum

By Sum Bunny

2026

OPEN THROTTLE
CC BY 4.0

YOU ARE FREE

to share and adapt the material for any purpose,
even commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given.

STATIC IS DYNAMIC

Tuning Into the Texture of the Vacuum

By Sum Bunny

(Author's Note: I was watching a documentary about a scientist who realized you didn't need a bone to find a mammoth—you just needed a teaspoon of the dirt they walked on, because they left genetic traces behind. When it was over, I started channel surfing and stumbled onto the famous scene in "Poltergeist" where the little girl is staring into the TV static. And I wondered: If dirt can remember a mammoth, what does the static remember?)

I. The White Noise Mistake

We have a cultural symbol for "nothing."

It is the dead channel. The grey, chaotic snow on an analog screen. The hiss of a radio tuned between stations. We use it in horror movies to signify isolation; we use it in engineering to signify entropy. To the human eye and ear, static is the absence of information. It is what remains when the broadcast ends.

But we are making a category error. We are confusing *unintelligible* with *empty*.

In information theory, what we label "noise" often contains structured components we have chosen not to model or ignore. In Shannon's sense, information is structure; the hypothesis here is that the vacuum may contain more structure than our current models capture. Even a perfect null result would be informative: it would place new upper bounds on the smoothness of spacetime itself.

Static is not the absence of signal. It is the **superposition of many unresolved signals**—physical, instrumental, and possibly geometric. The screen is not showing you "nothing." It is showing you the thermal floor of reality.

II. The 1% Signal

Let us start with the physics, but let us be precise.

If you find an old analog television and tune it to a dead channel, the majority of that dancing snow is internal—the thermal agitation of electrons in the TV's own amplifiers ("Johnson–Nyquist noise") and local terrestrial interference.

However, buried within that roar—making up a measurable fraction dependent on your location and equipment—is the **Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)**.

You are detecting photons that have traveled for 13.8 billion years, stretched by the expansion of space into radio waves, finally ending their journey by colliding with your antenna.

This means the Big Bang is not merely a "fossil" hanging in a distant museum of space. It is a **live feed**. It is a dynamic wavefront washing over the Earth at the speed of light, every second of every day. Even if it is buried beneath the noise of our own machines, the signal is there.

But that is just the surface. That is just the heat. If we stop looking at the screen as a "bucket" catching rain, and start seeing it as a "membrane" interacting with a field, the picture changes entirely.

III. The Membrane Hypothesis

Standard radio astronomy treats an antenna as a passive collector. It waits for a photon to hit it, causing an electron to wiggle. If the photons arrive with a standard Poisson distribution, we call it "Gaussian noise"—featureless and random.

But the **Scalar Knot** framework (see *Five Cosmic Predictions*) proposes a different boundary condition: the vacuum is not empty. It has structure. It has "hysteresis"—a memory of past stress (formalized in *ART* scalar–Gauss–Bonnet coupling).

The Hypothesis: If spacetime retains geometric memory, the higher-order statistics of the received electromagnetic field—phase correlations, temporal clustering, and spectral anisotropy—should not be purely random. They should be subtly guided by the **phenomenological scalar sector** of the vacuum.

Therefore, we can model your TV screen not as a bucket, but as a **2D membrane** intersecting a **4D field**.

Imagine passing a complex sheet of lace through a flat plane of light. To a 2D observer on the plane, the intersection looks like a chaotic scattering of unrelated dots appearing and disappearing. But the dots are not random. They are the **footprints** of a higher-dimensional structure passing through the membrane.

We propose that the "random" specks in the static may effectively be the signatures of **effective stochastic metric modulation** passing through our local space. They look like chaos only because we lack the depth perception to see the wave they belong to.

IV. The eDNA of the Sky

How do we test this? We have to change how we sort the data.

For decades, archaeology worked on a "bone hunter" logic: to find a mammoth, you had to find a mammoth's skeleton. But recent breakthroughs in **environmental DNA (eDNA)** have changed the game. We realized that creatures leave a biological echo in the environment. You don't need the bone; you just need a teaspoon of the dirt they walked on. The soil itself contains the sequence.

We need to apply this logic to the sky. **Static is just electromagnetic dirt.**

Buried in that hiss is the shedding of every major object in our environment. Radio astronomers usually filter these out as "foregrounds" to see the CMB. We want to do the opposite: we want to sequence the sediment.

- **The Galactic Hum:** Synchrotron radiation from the Milky Way.
- **The Screams of Jupiter:** Decametric radio storms from the Io-Jupiter flux tube.
- **The Lightning Record:** Schumann resonances echoing global thunderstorms.

If we filter out the Galaxy, the Sun, and the Earth, standard physics says we should be left with pure, random thermal noise.

Our framework predicts we will be left with something else: **Vacuum Texture**.

V. Relation to The Canon (Unified Physics)

This proposal completes the "Triangle of Exports" established in my prior work.

- **Jets** export structure into **Space** (*Black Hole Speaks*).
- **Ultra-long GRBs** export structure into **Time** (*The Seven-Hour Sigh*).
- **The Noise Floor** may export structure into **Statistics** (see also: *Metric Fossils*, *Five Cosmic Predictions*).

A. Regimes of Activation In *Armature Ripple Theory (ART)*, we describe scalarization as a curvature-triggered effect near horizons (strong gravity). The radio-noise proposal is the **weak-field statistical imprint** of the same field. In this framework, the scalar does not couple to photons as a new force. It perturbs the metric they traverse. The effect is not a change in brightness or frequency, but a bias in phase coherence and path length statistics.

B. Consistency with Constraints Any vacuum texture signal must be phenomenological and subtle. We are searching for an effect that lives *inside* the error bars of current constraints. The expected amplitude of such phase-coherence bias would be many orders of magnitude below direct photometric detectability ($\Delta\phi/\phi \lesssim 10^{-18}$–$10^{-22}$ per coherence time), appearing only as a statistical excess across ensembles.

C. The Unified Hypothesis Taken together, ART, Scalar Knots, ultra-long GRBs, and vacuum texture form a single hypothesis expressed in three domains: geometry, dynamics, and statistics. The underlying claim is modest but radical: that structure, once created, is expensive for the universe to erase.

VI. The Protocol: Sequencing the Noise

You cannot perform this archaeology with a single television. The thermal noise of the device is too loud. It drowns out the texture. To see the grain of the universe, we must use **Interferometry**, leveraging the **Boundary Source Principle**(*The Whispering Wall*): the radio band is chosen not for convenience, but because it is the lowest-energy regime where long coherence lengths and sparse foregrounds coexist, and the vacuum boundary becomes observable.

The Experimental Design:

1. **Dual Receivers:** Set up two Software Defined Radios (SDRs) separated by significant distance (e.g., 100+ km) to decorrelate local thermal and terrestrial noise.
2. **Common Frequency:** Tune both to the same "dead" frequency.
3. **Cross-Correlation:** Subtract the uncorrelated noise. Keep only the signal that appears in *both* streams simultaneously.
4. **Statistical Pipeline:** Before using AI, we calculate rigorous higher-order correlators (bispectrum/trispectrum) and test for **rotational invariance**.
5. **The AI Classifier:** Only after physical statistics are computed do we feed the residual stream into a Deep Learning model to classify deviations from the Gaussian null hypothesis.

Controls & Rigor: To prevent false positives, we must distinguish Vacuum Texture from known phenomena:

- **Plasma Turbulence:** Interstellar scintillation is dispersive, chromatic, and tied to the galactic plane. Vacuum texture would be achromatic, isotropic in comoving coordinates, and rotate with the sidereal day.
- **Correlation Scaling:** The signal strength should persist proportional to baseline length until it hits the **scalar coherence length**, where it should sharply decohere. In ART-consistent models, this coherence length would be bounded by cosmological horizon history and scalar mass ($\lambda_{coh} \sim c / m_{eff}$), likely spanning parsecs to megaparsecs for ultralight fields.
- **GWB Distinction:** Unlike the stochastic gravitational-wave background, which perturbs spacetime dynamically, the proposed effect is static in comoving coordinates: a deformation of propagation statistics, not a propagating metric oscillation.

VII. Conclusion

If no non-Gaussian residual survives after plasma modeling, baseline scaling, and sidereal rotation, then the scalar sector must either decohere below radio wavelengths or not exist.

But if the texture persists—if the static refuses to be random—then we have found the fossil.

No claim is made that this effect is large, useful, or technologically exploitable. It is simply a persistent memory in the metric. As posited in the **Boundary Source Principle**: boundaries reveal structure because gradients force dormant degrees of freedom to participate. We have treated the noise floor as a wall; it is time to treat it as a window.

Static is dynamic. We just need to learn how to read the dirt.

APPENDIX

Feasibility, Cost, and Risk Profile of the Noise-Sequencing Experiment

This proposal is unusual in fundamental physics because it is **instrument-light**. It relies on commodity radio receivers and standard correlation statistics rather than custom sensors, cryogenics, vacuum systems, or space-based infrastructure. The accessibility of the hardware, however, does not reduce the standard of evidence: the experiment is designed as a **null-hypothesis test** with explicit controls against self-deception.

A. Hardware Scale and Practical Feasibility

At minimum, the apparatus requires:

- **Two receivers** capable of recording raw I/Q (complex voltage) data
- **Two antennas** and stable front-end chains
- **Commodity compute + storage** for long integrations
- **Time/frequency alignment**, either GPS-referenced or software-estimated with validated uncertainty

A functional deployment can be assembled at low cost relative to most experimental physics. The critical requirement is not exotic hardware but **stability, calibration discipline, and clean metadata** (timestamps, gain settings, temperature notes, site logs).

B. What "Low Risk" Means Here

"Low risk" refers to **financial and infrastructure exposure**, not to the difficulty of inference. The analysis burden remains high: distinguishing genuine non-Gaussian residuals from instrumental systematics and radio-frequency interference is the central challenge.

The experiment is therefore structured around asymmetric outcomes:

- A **null** outcome is still informative *if* the pipeline is validated and foreground/systematic subtraction is credible.
- A **positive** outcome is only meaningful if it survives stringent adversarial controls and independent replication.

C. Technical Risk Drivers (and Why They Are Manageable)

The principal technical risks are standard in radio astronomy and signal processing:

- **RFI contamination** (satellites, aircraft, transmitters, switching supplies)
- **Ionospheric / tropospheric variability**
- **Clock drift and timestamp error** between stations
- **Gain/temperature drift** and nonlinearity in the front end
- **Cable/antenna resonances** that mimic "structure"

These risks are manageable because they have established mitigation strategies: site selection, shielding, notch filtering where appropriate, clock discipline, calibration routines, and—most importantly—controls that should fail if the signal is terrestrial or instrumental.

D. Scientific Risk and the Value of Null Results

The experiment tests a specific null hypothesis:

After removing identifiable foregrounds and systematics, the residual correlated signal is consistent with Gaussian statistics and rotational invariance within measurement error.

If the null holds, the result yields **upper bounds**—not on "spacetime texture" in the abstract, but on **classes of non-Gaussian deviations** in correlation space over the measured band, baselines, and integration time. These bounds are conditional on (i) the instrument model, (ii) the foreground subtraction model, and (iii) demonstrated pipeline validity.

A null result is therefore only as valuable as the demonstrated credibility of those three components. For this reason, pipeline validation is treated as a first-class deliverable.

E. Controls Against False Discovery

The dominant scientific failure mode in any noise-structure search is **apophenia**: finding patterns in randomness, especially when machine learning is involved. The design explicitly guards against this:

1. **Physics-first statistics:** non-Gaussian diagnostics (e.g., bispectrum/trispectrum or equivalent higher-order correlators) are computed before any ML step.
2. **Surrogate datasets:** time-shuffled, phase-randomized, and frequency-scrambled controls must return null.
3. **Sidereal rotation test:** any cosmic anisotropy should rotate with the sidereal day; signals locked to the solar day or local time are suspect.
4. **Baseline scaling:** the effect must exhibit a consistent dependence on station separation, including decoherence beyond a coherence scale.
5. **Blind injection campaigns:** the pipeline is tested with hidden, synthetic non-Gaussian signals injected at known strengths to estimate false-discovery rate and detection power.
6. **Pre-registered decision criteria:** success and failure thresholds are defined before final analysis to prevent "moving the goalposts."

In this framework, machine learning (if used) is restricted to **classification** of deviations already defined by physical statistics—not open-ended discovery.

F. Interpretation Discipline and Replication

A positive anomaly is not interpreted as "new physics" by default. The evidentiary ladder is explicit:

1. rule out instrument artifacts
2. rule out terrestrial and orbital RFI
3. rule out atmospheric/plasma explanations
4. verify sidereal and baseline behavior
5. reproduce across frequencies, seasons, and independent stations
6. only then consider vacuum-sector interpretations

Any claim of vacuum texture would require independent replication by other groups using different hardware and sites.

G. Summary

The experiment is low-cost and feasible because it is instrument-light. Its credibility comes not from expensive apparatus but from:

- explicit null hypotheses
- adversarial controls
- preregistered decision criteria
- replication standards

In this sense, the proposal aims to be *cheap to attempt* but *hard to fool*.

1st edition published here
on the Internet Archive!

Part of the Sum Bunny Canon (Ark Hive Press, 2026)

This was written through a collaboration between a human author and AI systems acting as analytical tools. The machines provided structure; the human provided judgment. Nothing appears here that the author did not personally create, refine, choose, and claim.

HEY JOSIANE,
EYES ON THE PRIZE.
SUM BUNNY LOVES YOU!!!

FREE FLASH